



4-25-5

APR/21/3
850

Attorney Docket No: MTEC-003/00US

PATENT

Express Mail Label Number: EV 525 578 368 US

Date of Deposit: April 21, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Radhika THEKKATH

Serial No.: 09/844,669

Examiner: McCarthy, Christopher S.

Confirmation No.: 8984

Art Unit: 2113

Filed: April 30, 2001

For: **TRACE CONTROL FROM HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE**

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL FOR INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Enclosed are the following documents:

1. Interview Summary (2 pages); and
2. Copy of Examiner's Interview Summary dated March 30, 2005 (4 pages).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16, 1.17, and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-3117.

Dated: April 21, 2005

Cooley Godward LLP

Attn: Patent Group

Five Palo Alto Square

3000 El Camino Real

Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155

Tel: (650) 843-5000

Fax: (650) 857-0663

Respectfully submitted,

COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:

Edward Van Gieson

Reg. No. 44,386

Attorney Docket No: MTEC-003/00US

PATENT

Express Mail Label Number: EV 525 578 368 US
Date of Deposit: April 21, 2005



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Radhika THEKKATH

Serial No.: 09/844,669

Examiner: McCarthy, Christopher S.

Confirmation No.: 8984

Art Unit: 2113

Filed: April 30, 2001

For: **TRACE CONTROL FROM HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE**

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

This Interview Summary is in response to Examiner's Interview Summary, dated March 30, 2005, a copy of which is attached.

Applicant's representative was informed on March 15, 2005 by the Examiner that a six-month deadline for responding to an Office Action had expired for responding to an Office Action of August 3, 2004. Applicant's representative held a telephone interview on March 16, 2005 with the Examiner to discuss the present case. Applicant's representative stated that a search of the file jacket and mail logs for the present case indicated that the last Office Action from the USPTO, dated August 3, 2004, had not been received by Applicant's representative.

The Examiner informed Applicant's representative that the case would be abandoned and that a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment should be submitted to the USPTO, including appropriate evidence of non-receipt of the Office Action.

Dated: April 21, 2005

Cooley Godward LLP
Attn: Patent Group
Five Palo Alto Square
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
Tel: (650) 843-5000
Fax: (650) 857-0663

Respectfully submitted,
COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:



Edward Van Gieson
Reg. No. 44,386



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1 450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,669	04/30/2005	Radhika Thekkath	MTEC003/00US	8984

22903 7590 03/30/2005

COOLEY GODWARD LLP
ATTN: PATENT GROUP
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER
RESTON, VA 20190-5061

EXAMINER	
MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2113

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

COOLEY GODWARD LLP

Radhika Thekkath
Statement of Substance of Invention
ENTERED
FILED: 16 APR 2005
30 APR 2005
(Reference to the serial of the invention)

RECEIVED

APR - 4 2005

COOLEY GODWARD LLP



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20050316

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Interview Summary



Application No.

09/844,669

Applicant(s)

THEKKATH, RADHIKA

Examiner

Christopher S. McCarthy

Art Unit

2113

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher S. McCarthy.

(3)_____.

(2) Edward A. Van Gieson.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner informed the applicant's representative that over 6 months has passed since the last reply from the applicant. The applicant's representative contends that the last office action from the patent office, dated 8/3/04, was never received. The examiner informed the representative that an official petition to revive must be presented along with proof of diligence and any mailing receipt records that do not show any received actions from the patent office. The representative informed the examiner that the petition would be processed expeditiously.